

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_86781
Title of the Manuscript:	MACROECONOMIC VARIABLES AND AGRICULTURAL OUTPUT IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In general the article is good though not novel. One can find the correction to be made on the manuscript (I marked yellow)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The title does not represent the content of the study 2) Rewrite the abstract part 3) Use recent data an figures 4) You should have defined the abbreviations at least when you use it for the first time 5) 26 years of data for time series study is too small to conduct study. Try to use extended time span. Besides, seven variables reduce the degree of freedom of the study 6) Macroeconomic variable such as Inflation, money supply and Interest rate are highly linked each other. The authors should be cautious about collinearity. 7) The simple OLS regression has many defecates particularly in time serious studies. ARDL, VAR or NARDL models could have been considered. 8) The estimation procedure in the methodological part is missed 9) The significance level of variable should be discussed in terms of percentage (1%, 5%, and 10% significance level) 10) The author should compare hi results with previous studies 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Use the recent and updated empirical studies 2) The author should have discussed the empirical studies conducted on Similar topics in other developing countries 3) The Theoretical literature review is weak, it needs to be improved 4) The author should refer theories whenever discussing the results 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Strictly follow the journals article writing guidelines 2) The recommendation must be in line with the findings 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adisu Abebaw Degu
Department, University & Country	Salale University, Ethiopia