

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_85640
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF TREES SPECIES ON NUTRIENT AVAILABILITY IN THE FOREST ECOSYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The introduction should be extended to include general information. chapter methodology and material described very briefly, it is necessary to describe in detail the characteristics of research objects, species (why such species and not others were chosen), climatic conditions in more detail. sampling, storage, preparation for analysis.</p> <p>when it comes to statistical analysis, the variance analysis is the basic analysis / method showing the differences. I believe that statistical analyzes should be improved and, above all, expanded to include post hoc tests and the factors of variability should be compared, and not the analysis of variance itself.</p> <p>The chapter on results is definitely too short and very vaguely presented. one ANOVA table cannot form the basis of an original research paper. it is necessary to detail, analyze the test results more thoroughly and maybe do more analyzes - only basic physicochemical analyzes were performed. chapter discussion should be expanded with more items from the literature.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Magdalena Myszura
Department, University & Country	Institute of Soil Science and Environment Shaping, University of Life Sciences, Poland