

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_89277
Title of the Manuscript:	Domain Structure in Ferroelectric Thin Films
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript entitled, " <b>Domain Structure in Ferroelectric Thin Films</b> " is very amazingly organized. After revising some important comments listed below, I recommend this paper for publication	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In abstract it says "In this work, we have considered the question from an analytical point of view, focusing on an interesting model introduced in a recent attempt by Lü and Cao [1]" what is the importance of this citation in in this part?</li> <li>2. An abstract is too narrowed, could you expand it?</li> <li>3. Introduction part it is better to use recent citations with order.</li> <li>4. This paper is journal, how Authors use pronounce "We" it should be corrected to 'Authors' or 'investigators or researchers'</li> <li>5. Using old information is not desirable. As a reviewer I suggest to use new reported works with respect to their findings specially (2019-2022)</li> <li>6. Comparisons are missed. Under Result authors should compare their results with previously reported.</li> <li>7. Revise conclusion with clear sentences and give directions for your findings.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abel Saka Gungure
Department, University & Country	Dambi Dollo University, Ethiopia