

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_88020
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDENTS' PERCEPTION OF THEIR PHYSICS TEACHERS' CLASSROOM PRACTICES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Please motivate more the urgency of the research in the introduction section. You could give such early finding you've observed so that there is a need to conduct the research.</li><li>- You have to give some references in the introduction section, citing previous relevant works.</li><li>- The authors mentioned validity and reliability. Where are they? I cannot find them in the manuscript. The result of validity and reliability.</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- First and second paragraph of Section 2.2 are identical to the first and second paragraph (some sentences) in Section 2.1.</li><li>- Survey is tightly related to quantitative methods, instead, use interview</li><li>-</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- I think research question can be merged in the introduction section instead of in a separate section.</li></ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Mujiya Ulkhaq
Department, University & Country	Diponegoro University, Indonesia