

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_83403
Title of the Manuscript:	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS ON THE IMPACT OF TROPOSPHERIC TEMPERATURE AND RELATIVE HUMIDITY ON DOWNLINK SATELLITE COMMUNICATION SYSTEM OVER WARRI, DELTA STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The title is informative and relevant, but it sounds not interesting. I suggest rewriting the title to be more interesting.2. The structure of paper include several mistake. For example, introduction is section (1) and methodology is section (1). Therefore, I suggest organize paper carefully.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">3. I suggest redrawing figure 2, 3, and figure 4 with small scale in days. Therefore, results be more accuracy for reader.4. The conclusion answers the aims of the study and is supported by results, but it is missing future work. Therefore, it would be better to inform future research opportunities.5. The number of references is not adequate. Thus, recommend increasing it.	
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali H. Wheeb
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq