

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_81418
Title of the Manuscript:	Magnetic Characterization of Co and Zn Nano Ferrites: Property Consideration for Oil-Spill Clean up
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Rewrite as one paragraph and remove subheadings. - Its should also flow capturing introduction, objectives, methodology and summary of results 	
Minor REVISION comments	Revise the abstract section into once continuous paragraph	
Optional/General comments	Abstract <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Rewrite as one paragraph and remove subheadings. - Its should also flow capturing introduction, objectives, methodology and summary of results Key words <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Are okay Introduction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Its well written giving a brief detailed view of the group of materials under study Theory <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - structural defects or nonmagnetic inclusions and magnetic saturations are well discussed in view of the objective of the study/article Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - materials are well identified - the method is well discussed - characterization is appropriately done Results and discussions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - each section of characterization is well covered and explained - figures are well illustrated and explained Conclusion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - its well done References <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - well documents and captured in the body of the article 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cliff Orori Mosiori
Department, University & Country	Technical University of Mombasa, Kenya