

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_86982
Title of the Manuscript:	ATLANTOAXIAL INSTABILITY IN CHILDREN, 26 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Case Study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b> Researchers should compile abstracts in accordance with writing guidelines (aims, methods, results, conclusions and keywords). It is better to state the type of research and then the research design presented in a sentence, it cannot stand alone. Describe the size of the population and sample, as well as sampling techniques</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> In the introduction, the researcher should describe the research problem, prevalence, efforts that have been made to overcome the problem and what efforts the researcher offers to address the research problem, then explain what the urgency of the research is.</p> <p><b>Material and Method</b> Researchers should describe the type of research along with the research design, population and sample, how to determine the sample, research data along with measurement or assessment instruments, and the analysis used.</p> <p><b>Results</b> Researchers should explain what the conclusions from the results of data analysis in the table</p> <p><b>Discussion</b> In the discussion chapter, researchers should no longer present quantitative data. Researchers suggest that there are conclusions from the results of table data analysis, then express the researcher's ideas accompanied by empirical data</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> The researcher's conclusion is simply to describe what the findings in this study are, put it briefly and clearly.</p> <p><b>References</b> Make citations according to article writing guidelines and try to keep the references up to date</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Need improvement according to the reviewer's suggestions and writing guidelines that have been determined	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	quite good, needs improvement according to the reviewer's suggestions	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hendrik
Department, University & Country	Health Polytechnic Makassar Ministry of Health, Indonesia