

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_86050
Title of the Manuscript:	PYCNODYSTOSIE ASSOCIEE AU STRIDOR: CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Congrats on the work put into writing this case study. The article is interestingly written. I note only two main points for improvement.</p> <p>1 - please describe how this disease affects later life. Add information in the introduction and discussion about the rehabilitation process, possible therapy, etc.</p> <p>2- the references are not up to date. I suggest removing and replacing references older than 5 years.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to review.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	NA	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NA	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grzegorz Zieliński
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Lublin, Poland