

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_81821
Title of the Manuscript:	The features of Down syndrome and the risk of parent's age
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Language needs to be revised.</p> <p>Structure of the article needs to be completely revised.</p> <p>Conclusions need to be revised – as elder women have elder man you find for sure a correlation with elder couples and DS children – still NO study found yet a correlation of enhanced DS risk and older fathers.</p> <p>Those families with more than only DS case need to be studied cytogenetically – without that data the paper cannot be accepted.</p> <p>Authors need to explain why they know one DS case is mosaic and they have no cytogenetic data on other cases</p> <p>Discuss if the higher rate of males to females could be due to gender selection in your country.</p> <p>Provide a take home message – what can the reader learn from that study – apart from repeating known facts from literature?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Karyotype formulas need to be written acc. to ISCN (i.e. without any gap in the formula.	
Optional/General comments	Paper needs major revision in structure and language. Also, authors need to provide a clear statement what they found new. The paternal age effect is clearly due to a wrong data evaluation and needs to be omitted.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thomas Liehr
Department, University & Country	UKJ, Germany