

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_77623
Title of the Manuscript:	Cross-Cultural Differences in Temperament Between the United States and the People's Republic of China: A Longitudinal Comparison
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>The aims in the abstract should be improved in the sense of sub-chapter 1.1. There the goals of the project are well described.</b></li> <li><b>The numbering of the Chinese sampling should have to be adapted to the formatting (2.1.2).</b></li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The submission corresponds to the scientific standard of research articles. The abstract contains a clear presentation of the methodology, results and conclusion. The aims should be improved. Keywords are given. In the introduction, a definition of the term temperament is given. This is followed by an introduction to environmental influences and cross-cultural differences. Cross-cultural comparisons are presented to present the research gap. This is followed by the presentation of the current state of research. In point 1.1 references are made to the current study. The goals, hypotheses and assumptions are listed there. The methodology is first described by sampling. This is an exact representation of this. Test procedures that were determined due to the differences in sampling are listed. The two questionnaires are presented under the point 'Measures' and their reliability and validity are shown with the help of the Cronbach's alpha coefficients. The point 'Procedure' deals with ethical aspects of research (e.g. agreement, translation, approval by the Institutional Review Boards, consent). This is followed by a detailed description of the analysis steps, which is described in a coherent and comprehensible manner. The third point relates to the results and discussion. These are presented in a clear and comprehensible manner. The data extracts enable readers to understand the results on this basis. In the general discussions, the results are explained and thus substantiated with the help of other findings from other studies. Further considerations for future research in this field are presented in the limitations. The main aspects are summarized in the conclusion. Competing interests, consent and ethical approval are each presented in more detail. On the whole, this is a successful contribution.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Florentine Paudel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University College of Teacher Education Vienna, Austria</b>