

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_76890
Title of the Manuscript:	Covid 19 and routine vaccination programme: does it affected badly?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Conclusion- Requires major revision and resubmission, clearly highlighting responses to the suggested changes.</p> <p>Materials & Methods-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please clarify the start and cut-off dates covered in your records collection, cut-off date in the future (31st Dec 2021) is confusing • Please explain what was categorized as “new” infants • Please include a copy of the questionnaire or a clear summary of it. • Please provide a clear table of the National Immunization schedule and list the components of your Pentavalent vaccine for the benefit of readers who may not be familiar with the abbreviation. There is no need to indicate the specific site of injection • Please provide the definition of Janta for the purpose of international audience understanding <p>Statistical Analysis / Results sub-headings should be included</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In Table 1 please provide definitions for <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Low, Average, Higher Socio-economic designations ○ Top, Unhygienic, Use of sugar feeding ○ Adequate, delayed, complementary feeding • In Table 2 please provide definitions for <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Inborn/Old follow-up, Outborn/New • In Table 3, please define: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Phobia of Covid ○ Social Myths ○ Did not notice • Please provide the number of infants for whom parents were without vaccination cards; and your rationale for excluding them from your analysis. <p>Discussion-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Would be helpful if you can cite references about Covid related delayed immunization and compare with your findings ○ The citation for 1995-97 looks old. ○ Please provide any short term impact of the delays in your report if possible. ○ Please provide recommendations as to how to close the reported gap in your community. <p>References- Reference 3 has no title.</p> <p>Standard English language writing- There are significant and far too numerous syntax or grammatical errors that must be addressed to make the manuscript readable and understandable. Starting from the Title of the article- “...does it affected badly?”, please make the relevant corrections.</p> <p>Please provide the list of authors and their affiliations.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	See above	

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	See above	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vincent Ahonkhai
Department, University & Country	USA