

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_76036
Title of the Manuscript:	Neonatal morbidity pattern among infants born to diabetic mothers at Jamhouria hospital, Benghazi- Libya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- References are missing in the most part of the paper.- The abstract is very confusing. I suggest reviewing and to reformulate some paragraphs.- In the literature review, there are many parts which were copied from the abstract of the original paper. Please review. Besides, I suggest reformulating some paragraphs, so the same diseases can be described in sequence.- The method does not mention whether the study was approved by the ethics committee.- The results are poorly described in the text. I suggest describing them instead of using so many figures.- The discussion is very poor. I suggest using the literature review to confront with your results.- The conclusion could be more explored. You may put a little more of your findings.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- It is important to put the correct numbers on the references, so we can see the correct refence cited in the text.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Review text formatting. Different fonts and font sizes. Many spaces in some parts of the article.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The authors should explain in the methodology if there is the approval of ethics committee	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Vanessa Cristina Waetge Pires De Godoy</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Triplicare Saúde – Hospital Sepaco, Brazil</i>