

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_76007
Title of the Manuscript:	Staphylococcal Epidermolysis: a Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Introduction of this case report could be unnecessary as some presentations in introduction are the same to discussion.</p> <p>Discussion should be more informative and organized. I suggest in the beginning the diagnosis, investigations and treatment of Staphylococcal Scalded skin syndrome should be mentioned. The differential diagnosis and also points of differentiation in between differential diagnosis including the different pathology should be added.</p> <p>The most important portion of this manuscript is case report and I think which is very short and information was incomplete. The hydration status, circulation status of this infant were not mentioned although the infant was suffering disease spread over 70% of body surface. Infant's capillary refill time, blood pressure and pulse volume and tension should be mentioned with conscious level in such a severe case.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Toxic epidermal necrolysis is (TEN) not (NET) when it was mentioned in short form.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>This case is a straight forward case of SSSS and this case report raised only the awareness and refreshes the knowledge of SSSS to paediatricians. More interested case reports are expected.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Aye Aye Myint</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Medicine Mandalay, Myanmar</i>