



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_66672
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF THE PERSPECTIVES OF TURKS AND ARABS ON MOTHER'S MILK BANKING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Firstly, I would like to thank that study.</p> <p>Firstly where are the results of Arabs? Are they the Foreign participants? The word Arabs appears only in the title of the paper – please revise.</p> <p>Secondly, overall the tables look different, there is no continuity regarding them.</p> <p>Thirdly, also I advise a revision concerning the organization of the paper, it is sometimes confusing with the small texts between the tables and literature review in the last sections.</p> <p>Fourthly, improve section 3 - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</p> <p>Tables without titles – page 4,5,7</p> <p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aims: The sentence is too long, “and” is used where there should be commas. • What means “which is selected by easy sampling method” in Place and Duration of Study? • Conclusion: the word “the” is excessively used. <p>Introduction</p> <p><u>Page 1</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please consider revising the sentence “It is observed that the mortality rate of babies who are breastfed decreases compared to babies who do not receive breast milk, and they are protected from diseases better”, and they are protected from diseases regards the breastfed babies, the sentences seems otherwise. • “The World Health Organization states that babies should be fed only breast milk for the first six months after birth” – what year, where? <p><u>Page 2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) stated that “all preterm babies should be breastfed, and pasteurized donor milk should be used if they cannot receive breast milk for some reason” - what year, where? • What is “B.C”? • This sentence is not clear “This kinship, which is established due to milk, is not limited to those who suck and breastfeed, but also creates barriers to marriage between the nursing baby and the other relatives of the nursing mother. As a result, there is a third barrier to marriage due to breastfeeding between parties with no family or marital ties”, why does this happen, is it not accepted? <p><u>Page 3</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I do not understand the title of this table: “Table 1. When the scores obtained according to the results of the factor analysis made in the Turkish society are examined; “ I would write “Results: factor analysis made in the Turkish of society” • When using numbers please use dot and not commas “62,1” – “62.1” • “between groups”, specify please, who are these groups? Both combined? <p><u>Page 6</u></p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “It can be said that the people become older, the more they agree with the statements about the properties and benefits of breast milk.” Incorrect grammatical sentence: the older people become, the more they agree with statements about properties and benefits of breast milk” <p><u>Page 9</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “As can be understood from Table 2, it has been revealed that the opinions of the participants on breast milk banking do not show a normal distribution” – why? <p><u>Page 16</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Studies on milk banking in the literature are as follows:” all of this should be after the introduction <p><u>Page 17</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider presenting - Limitations and future lines of research 							
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> When writing a text (not tables), usually numbers are written from <u>one</u> to <u>ten</u>, and from then onwards the numerical is used. No. Of children – but Nr. of children (page 5) “examined; It has been” , “people; In addition” (page 6) “range; It was” (page 9) – incorrect use of capital letters Titles of tables – excessive use of capital letters, for ex. “The Average and Standard Deviation Results of All Expressions” “foreign national participants” ? - or foreign participants or national participants and individual cannot be both Instead of : <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>All Participants</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>Turkish Participants</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>Foreign Participants</u></td> </tr> </table> <p style="text-align: center;">Try</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>General</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>Turkish</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>Foreign</u></td> </tr> </table> 	<u>All Participants</u>	<u>Turkish Participants</u>	<u>Foreign Participants</u>	<u>General</u>	<u>Turkish</u>	<u>Foreign</u>	
<u>All Participants</u>	<u>Turkish Participants</u>	<u>Foreign Participants</u>						
<u>General</u>	<u>Turkish</u>	<u>Foreign</u>						
Optional/General comments	Acceptable with this revision.							

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Isabel Miguel
Department, University & Country	University of Coimbra, Portugal