

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_88992
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of Parameters and optimality of Second-Order Spherical Designs using Quadratic Function Relative to Non-Spherical Face centered CCD
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The theme approached in this article falls into the topic of Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics . The organization of the article is scientific standpoint correct. The sections of the article are judicious used for the presentation, definition and clarification of the analyzed issues. There is a logic thread of the presentation and argumentation. The used language is scientifically correct. It can be relatively easily read and understood by specialists in the field. The style and expression clear and coherent, no grammatical or expression mistakes. The article contains 21 bibliographical sources, entered in the References section in alphabetical order. The most recent two source sources are published in 2021. The citation of the bibliographical sources is made according to the requirements.	
Minor REVISION comments	There should be no indentations of a space at the beginning of certain paragraphs in the text of the paper. The title of the paper and the word Keywords must be written in bold font. The mathematical relationships in section 2 should be written centered on the page. The tables 1-8 in section 3 should be centered on the page. The spaces between the titles of the papers included in the References section could be removed.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Blajina Ovidiu
Department, University & Country	University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania