

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_88848
Title of the Manuscript:	MULTINOMIAL LOGISTIC REGRESSION MODELING OF SOME FACTORS AFFECTING WEIGHT OF CHILD AT BIRTH
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. In p1, "2.5-4.2 kilograms" in abstract and "2.5-4.2 kg" in introduction should be consistent; in p1, in introduction, line 1, "growth;" should be revised to "growth,".</p> <p>2. In p2, line 15, "low birth weight are" should be revised to "low birth weight were", line 24, "Park(2007)[9] opines "should be revised to "Park(2007)[9] opined".</p> <p>3. In p2, line 48, "Y" should be revised to "Y" ,</p> <p>4. In p3, line 1, "β_i are parameters of the model" should be revised to "β_i is parameters of the model",</p> <p>line 3, $\frac{\pi}{1-\pi} = b \left(\beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \beta_i x_i \right)$ should be revised to</p> $\frac{\pi}{1-\pi} = b \left\{ \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \beta_i x_i \right\}$ <p>5. In p3, line 20, "Where" should be revised to "where".</p> <p>6. In p4 (8), "$\sum_{k=1}^{k-1} P$ " should be revised to "$\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} P$ ", $\sum_{k=1}^{k-1} P_r(Y_i = k) \exp(\beta_k X_{m,i})$ should be revised to</p> $\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} P_r(Y_i = K) \exp(\beta_k X_{m,i})$ <p>7. In p5, in 3. RESUILS AND DISCUSSION, line 6 and 7, "is"should be revised to "are".</p> <p>8. In p6, "to be investigate" should be followed by ".", in p8, there are many fonts that are inconsistent here.</p> <p>9. In p9, "with only primary school education" should be followed by ".".</p> <p>10. A great deal of punctuation is missing in this paper.</p> <p>11. Review and unify the reference format.</p>	
Optional/General comments	1. In the paper, rearrange the formula in the text so that it looks nice and tidy	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xiaolan Liu
Department, University & Country	Sichuan University of Science & Engineering, China