

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_86868
Title of the Manuscript:	The Statistical Measurement System of High-Quality Development Level of Cities —— Based on Yangtze River Delta Region of China
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: <https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I am pleased to have the opportunity to review this research paper. Although the topic of this research study is interesting and fits within the journal scope, I think authors should apply the comments indicated below to increase the quality of research justification, contributions, and findings. The manuscript knows lacks in scientific style and structure.</p> <p>What is the originality of this research? Paper research gap and originality should be better presented at the end of introduction section</p> <p>Please consider this structure for manuscript final part.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Discussion -Conclusion -Managerial Implication -Practical/Social Implications <p>Questions to be answered: What practical/professional and academic consequences will this study have for the future of scientific literature (theoretical contributions)?</p> <p>Why is this study necessary? should make clear arguments to explain what the originality and value of the proposed model is. This should be stated in the final paragraphs of introduction and conclusion sections.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Improving the literature.</p> <p>Language review.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fülöp Melinda Timea
Department, University & Country	Romania