

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_86762
Title of the Manuscript:	Rail Transit Stations Classification Based on Spectral Clustering
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Please rewrite the first sentence. It is unclear and hard to follow. See suggestion in the manuscript. 2) Please mention what type of data was used in this study. 3) Please mention what method was being used to verify the effectiveness of the proposed method. <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Update 6 to 8 journal articles from the year 2018 - 2022. 2) Explain the gap that leads to your study. 3) Mention the disadvantages of using single clustering indicator. 4) Explain why you are using the spectral clustering method instead of other methods. 5) Review at least 3 to 5 journal articles using the spectral clustering method. <p>Reference</p> <p>Give the complete info for [13] including the title of the journal, issue, vol and pages.</p> <p>Other</p> <p>Use a complete phrase before using the abbreviation.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Teoh Yeong Kin
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia