

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_81450
Title of the Manuscript:	A New Trivariate Semicopula Using Rüschendorf Method
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Intersting topics but how you delivery the contents should major improvement.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please review your abstract according to comments. 2. Please rewrite your Introduction Section. Should define some notations used. 3. Please cite references and look how is the citation style for the full paper. 4. The structure of the paper is not nicely written, you should has conclusions 5. Please re write the whole full paper according to the format of the Journal, and according to the flow nicely. 6. Please read your paper again before submit. There are many simple mistake for example on capital letter or not, punctuation and etc. You could send to proofreader as well before submit. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Please check full paper format on how to write or number the topics	
Optional/General comments	you copy this from your thesis, please read again before submit. You should let your supervisor read, or your research members or colleague to read as well. Try look the previous paper which been publish in this journal as a guide, how they write it.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noryanti Muhammad
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP), Malaysia