

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_79858
Title of the Manuscript:	SPATIO-TEMPORAL MODELLING OF ENDEMIC-EPIDEMIC CHOLERA IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1.The abstract unclear please rewrite abstract                  2. The literature review on the recent progress for this research topic is not sufficient.                  3.Please better clarify of Figures as it is the kernel of this work                  5.In this research, we need to simulate the proposed idea and prove its effectiveness and compared with traditional methods                  6.This work no contain conclusion please write conclusion                  7. There must be a comparison between the previous work and work search                  8. The references need more modern arrangements such as IEEE or Harvard ....</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1.The abstract unclear please rewrite abstract                  2. The literature review on the recent progress for this research topic is not sufficient.                  3.Please better clarify of Figures as it is the kernel of this work                  5.In this research, we need to simulate the proposed idea and prove its effectiveness compared with traditional methods                  6.This work no contain conclusion please write conclusion                  7. There must be a comparison between the previous work and work search                  8. The references need more modern arrangements such as IEEE or Harvard</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>1.The abstract unclear please rewrite abstract                  2. The literature review on the recent progress for this research topic is not sufficient.                  3.Please better clarify of Figures as it is the kernel of this work                  5.In this research, we need to simulate the proposed idea and prove its effectiveness compared with traditional methods                  6.This work no contain conclusion please write conclusion                  7. There must be a comparison between the previous work and work search                  8. The references need more modern arrangements such as IEEE or Harvard</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammed Aboud Kadhim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Middle Technical University, Iraq</b>