

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_78871
Title of the Manuscript:	CROSS SPECTRUM ANALYSIS OF LEAD-LAG RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BCG AND DPT VACCINATIONS IN ANAMBRA STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

		Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to review this manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Revise title "CROSS SPECTRUM ANALYSIS OF LEAD-LAG RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BCG AND DPT VACCINATIONS IN ANAMBRA STATE" 2) Introduction: section is not clear, the purpose of study is not clearly mentioned with detailed objectives. 3) Data Analysis: section is not clearly written. Author need to properly follow paper writing pattern. 4) Results: only tables are given however, details should be added. 5) Discussion: Again, the authors need to point out the theoretical contributions of this paper to the existing literature. To do it, the research gaps must be clear and strong. In abstract author mentioned "it should be sustained by the state government." However, there is no clear description why with reference discussed in discussion why should it should be sustained or either its recommendation given by author? Author should clearly this point 6) Conclusion: Section is weak in regards to clearly justify the results. <p>Further, English Language of manuscript could be improved.</p>	<p>Who knows where Anambra state is located? It is suggested that main region or country holding states name should be clearly mention for better understanding.</p> <p>Introduction Section: The authors should revised the research gaps. But after reading this section, it is not clear. The authors also clarify why this study is important. The authors should mention briefly the theoretical contributions at the end of this section.</p> <p>Data Analysis: Author should revise the methodology for clear understanding.</p> <p>Results: briefly discuss tables and figures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Author should mention recommendations on the base of following study, limitations and future work should be add in paper to strengthen the worth of study. 	
Minor REVISION comments			
Optional/General comments			

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nida Hussain
Department, University & Country	Zhengzhou University, China