

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_78871
Title of the Manuscript:	CROSS SPECTRUM ANALYSIS OF LEAD-LAG RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BCG AND DPT VACCINATIONS IN ANAMBRA STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The academic writing of the paper needs some modification with grammatically checking.</p> <p>Punctuation.</p> <p>The result of the paper is not sufficient.</p> <p>The title of the paper is general and not clear, should be consistent with the objectives, abstract, and conclusion of the paper.</p> <p>I suggest modifying the title of the paper as follow:</p> <p>A Statistical Study for Cross Spectrum Analysis of Lead-Lag Relationship between BCG and DPT Vaccinations in Anambra State</p> <p>I don't know that you suppose the equations (1)-(12)? Rewrite all the lines to be clear to the researchers and perfect.</p> <p>Put every equation in a separated line and it should be ended by “.” or “,” and no points before the numbering of the equation.</p> <p>The numbering of the equations should be in the same style and positions as the numbering of equations.</p> <p>You need more new references especially in those related to the literature reviews of this field also which related to the statistical analysis.</p> <p>The table should be in the center of the line.</p> <p>Is Equation (22) your assumption or you are dependent on the reference?</p> <p>What's the advantage of your paper and methodology?</p> <p>Modify the titles of sections and subsections</p> <p>You didn't compare your results with experts in medicine. Rewrite the references in the same style.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Sahib Mechee
Department, University & Country	University of Kufa, Iraq