

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_77655
Title of the Manuscript:	QUEING THEORY, A TOOL FOR POLIO ERADICATION IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author has done well in the presentation and analysis of (WIBT_i) models for estimating the queuing system of polio patients, vaccination process, and eradication in Nigeria. However, the paper would have been better if the following issues were addressed:</p> <p>Abstract: Please specify the combination of exact and approximate models you are using. Key words: You either use Initial capitals or small letters all through. Combination of the two isn't enticing.</p> <p>References: There are cases that required citations and reference lists, please revised the work and ensure you cite most of the claims in your introduction and literature review. Please include more references, ensure your reference is more than ten with more of recent works.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are minor errors that have to do with spellings, word-spacing, punctuations, and other grammar issues that would have been address to make the paper more fluent. For instance "clinic.The" should be "clinic. The"</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Review the work for originality</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Casmir Chidiebere Onyeneke
Department, University & Country	University Of The People, USA