

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |                                                             |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJPAS_77655                                              |
| Title of the Manuscript: | QUEING THEORY, A TOOL FOR POLIO ERADICATION IN NIGERIA      |
| Type of the Article      |                                                             |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Result discussions needs to be rewritten to reflect significance of the research</li> <li>2. Tables 1 to 8 need to be discussed</li> <li>3. Conclusions need to be rewritten</li> <li>4. Some references are not cited in the body of the work</li> <li>5. Virtually all references used are old</li> </ol> |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Report abstract content in past tense</li> <li>2. Results should reflect on the abstract</li> <li>3. So many citations missing</li> <li>4. Some equations not referenced</li> <li>5. Some equations lack numbering</li> </ol>                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                               |

### PART 2:

|                                              | Reviewer's comment                                                    | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |                                                                                                                                                                               |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                                                         |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Ahmed Muktar Abubakar</b>                                            |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Advanced Manufacturing Technology Development Institute, Nigeria</b> |