

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics.
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_69608
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Performance of ARIMA and GARCH Model Forecasting Crude Oil Price Data
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article must be reorganized according to the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Tables should not be attached as they are in the output, but you could recreate simple tables in which only the most important output results are placed ➤ Comment adequately on the results of the tables ➤ Not all outputs are attached, and sometimes we just comment on the results only. ➤ The equations are arranged incorrectly 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bouchemella Abdelhalim
Department, University & Country	Guelma University, Algeria