

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_83831
Title of the Manuscript:	OUTCOME OF PERCUTANEOUS RELEASE OF THE COMMON EXTENSOR ORIGIN FOR TENNIS ELBOW
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author has clearly described a well-known condition with excellent outcome	
Minor REVISION comments	The author has to include in his results, biomechanical findings. Refer to the following article: - De Smedt T, de Jong A, Van Lemur W, Lieven D, Van Gabbed F. Lateral epicondylitis in tennis: update on aetiology, biomechanics, and treatment. Br J Sports Med. 2007; 41:816–819	
Optional/General comments	I congratulate the author for the work well done	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jean Marie Vianney Hope
Department, University & Country	University of Rwanda, Rwanda