

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_83831
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>OUTCOME OF PERCUTANEOUS RELEASE OF THE COMMON EXTENSOR ORIGIN FOR TENNIS ELBOW</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Throughout the Manuscript</b>            1- Insert a space before and after the mathematical symbols (equal, inequality signs, and ±).            2- Tables do not respect the elementary rules of a scientific writing (horizontal lines, etc.).</p> <p><b>Abstract</b>            1- Line 22: what "OT" stands for must be written</p> <p><b>Introduction</b>            1- Line 43: Reference 4, should be corrected in accordance with the citation style            2- Line 55: what "ECRB" stands for must be written</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods</b>            1- Line 158: A paragraph describing statistical methods and analysis should be added to this part of the text.</p> <p><b>Results</b>            1. Line 136, 138, 141: Instead of "Figure no. 1" use "Figure 1". Change these kind of statements throughout the manuscript.            2- Line 175-176: The sentence should be written in Introduction or Discussion.            "Percutaneous tenotomy is considered to be safe procedure."            3- The differences between sexes should be analysed and mentioned in an paragraph or table.</p> <p><b>Discussion</b>            1- Line 196-200: it is just a list of results from each study. Discuss more about what can we said from these results            2- Line 241-242: Give number and date for the ethical approval. Also provide the name of ethical approval institution.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>This is a well written paper. However there are minor revisions that should be done. Being subject to these minor revisions I recommend the paper to be published in the journal.            Kind Regards</p>	

**Comment [h1]:** The sentence should be written in Introduction or Discussion.

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hasan Tetiker</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mugla Sıtkı Kocman University, Turkey</b>