

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_80505
Title of the Manuscript:	TEN YEARS POST BILATERAL TKR WITH LBA – PHYSIOTHERAPY CAN HELP?
Type of the Article	??

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment only one case only. A case report.	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Big series is required to draw conclusion. One case cure can be accidental. Biostatistic should be applied.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Conclusion can't be drawn on results of one case. Big series is required. 2) many short form are used. It's difficult to understand.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Too much short forms.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Nandkishor Goyal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ACPM Medical College, India</b>