

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_79590
Title of the Manuscript:	Prospective evaluation of quality of life and function after surgical management of femoral neck fractures in elderly patients.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The evaluation of quality of life after surgical management of femoral neck in elderly patients is a very interesting issue. some points would be interesting to be discussed in the article: - what was the average time from fracture to the day of surgery? It is known that the prolonged hospital stay affects the prognosis and also the postoperative quality of life - Was there a significant difference in the quality of life of patients undergoing partial or total arthroplasty? - a point of confusion that can be mentioned is the relatively short follow-up time (6 months) and the fact that the assessment is carried out through a telephone interview. The patient's cognitive level can affect the analysis of their quality of life	
Minor REVISION comments	The evaluation of quality of life has several limitation/confusion factors (comorbidities) and functional analysis is difficult to be performed in this population.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gustavo Remigio Gomes
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil