

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_87046
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk factors, clinical presentations and predictors analysis of stroke in adult patients in India: A prospective observational study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorin.com/index.php/AJORRIN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear Authors,</p> <p>Thank you for your work, There are some issues in your article as below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are some grammar issues in the article. 2. Please explain more in the methods and materials. 3. Please add the statistical analysis part to your article. 4. Please add an ethical code (proof by a university link) in the methods and ethical approval part. 5. Please explain more in the discussion part about similar studies that had direct or opposite results to your research. <p>Best,</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are some grammar issues in the article. 2. Please explain more in the methods and materials. 3. Please add the statistical analysis part to your article. 4. Please add an ethical code (proof by a university link) in the methods and ethical approval part. 5. Please explain more in the discussion part about similar studies that had direct or opposite results to your research. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Keyvan Ghadimi
Department, University & Country	Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Iran