

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_83010
Title of the Manuscript:	A new accurate formula for the large-angle period of a simple pendulum
Type of the Article	Numerical research for improving an existing formula

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajopacs.com/index.php/AJOPACS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The aesthetics of the paper and the clarity of the figures – good The theme of the paper is classic and its level of difficulty is medium to low. The idea of the paper is simple: Obtaining in a strictly numerical way a better approximation for the period of the large amplitude oscillations of the mathematical pendulum, starting from an existing formula (not new). English used is locally questionable. There are some local drafting mistakes. For example: - form this figure; it is clear.. (section 5) - that is way the... (section (6) - for the large angle period; and a new... Obsessive repeating of sequence [3], [4],[5] concerning the References.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Some English grammar mistakes. Please reconsider some phrases. The last sentence in the "Conclusions" section is a general remark rather than a product of the paper.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>In addition to the approximate expressions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), there are many other more accurate approximations for the mathematical pendulum's period, obtained by more recent methods, e.g. variational iteration method, homotopy analysis method, homotopy perturbation method, and so on.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deleanu Dumitru
Department, University & Country	Maritime University of Constanta, Romania