

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_80682
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesised Heterocyclic Organic Compound and XRD, Thermal, Optical Characterization Studies on it.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajopacs.com/index.php/AJOPACS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The article presents a good structure and content, some changes are necessary, in addition, it could benefit by incorporating a little more information</p> <p>The title could be modified to Structural, optical, and thermal characterization of a synthesized Heterocyclic Organic Compound.</p> <p>The table of results from DXR should be described in text.</p> <p>Please check that all Figures have the same format: text size, axes, box with letter, etc.</p> <p>I suggest that all the Figures captions would have a brief description.</p> <p>The abstract must be improved. More information is necessary to understand the importance and the novelty of this paper.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Some misspelled words are written such as Scherrer, please check the whole text</p> <p>Please check the quality of the Figures because it looks pixelated</p> <p>Table 1 should be more presentable</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The article has good information and it show some relevant results that could be helpful for someone. Some changes are necessary to improve the quality.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Miguel Ojeda Martínez</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universidad de Guadalajara, Mexico</i></b>