

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Geological Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOGER_88206
Title of the Manuscript:	Geophysical 3D Seismic Appraisal of Okpella Field within Offshore Niger Delta Basin of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajoger.com/index.php/AJOGER/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The title is somewhat consistent with the research content.</li> <li>- Keywords: Map, Attribute, and Productivity have general meaning. Keywords should represent the specific concepts of your research topic.</li> <li>- Avoid using abbreviations in the abstract (R.M.S, STOIIP, GIIP).</li> <li>- The authors must highlight the objective of this study at the end of the introduction.</li> <li>- My major comment goes to the figures. All figures (Maps, diagrams, graphs...) are of poor quality. Text and figure labeling of the figures are illegible. Readers can't easily read and understand the content.</li> <li>- Tables (Table 3, 4, and 5) must be presented in the manuscript using the Word table function, and not as an image file.</li> <li>- Most of the references used are very old. It is important to use sources published in the few past years. The sources published for example in the past 5 years are more current and may reflect the newest discoveries.</li> <li>- There are not enough bibliographical references. I am expecting to consider more literature references in the revised manuscript.</li> <li>- There are many misspellings and grammatical mistakes in the paper.</li> </ul>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Overall, the research idea is very important, however, the paper is not suitable for publication in the current form.</li> <li>- We hope the author would find our recommendations helpful to revise this manuscript. Please see comments above.</li> <li>- The author must provide a suitable version of their manuscript before resending this paper for another revision.</li> </ul>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abderrahim Ayad
Department, University & Country	Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Morocco