

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGER_86657
Title of the Manuscript:	Waste Management Practices Among Trading Type of Business in Selected Municipalities of Davao Occidental
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajoger.com/index.php/AJGER/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This abstract can be improved upon especially on the introductory sentence, methodology, results and conclusion and other cogent aspects of your work. Contribution to the knowledge needs to come up. All cited references should be listed. Refer to your tables and figures before inserting them.</p> <p>Carefully itemize novelty of this paper. Recommendations should be incorporated. What did you achieve on Waste Management Practices Among Trading Type of Business in Selected Municipalities of Davao Occidental? What is the justification for using adapted survey questionnaire? Why did you selected Municipalities of Davao Occidental?</p> <p>Let your conclusion reflect your research and improve on contribution to knowledge. Strictly follow Journal of Asian Journal of Geological Research template.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>References are too small. Cite and list recent references between 2018-2022. Proofread and attend to every editorial work.</p> <p>Try to put introduction and literature review in your own word. Avoid plagiarism and let us know the current trends and what you want to achieve or improve upon. How viable is "That majority of the respondents were male, they belong to the age bracket of 43-52. Most of them were Roman Catholics with college undergraduate educational attainment and having an average range monthly income of P26,000-50,000" statement in your conclusion.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Refer to your tables, plates and figures before inserting them. Figure 1 should be discussed before inserting it. Try to improve on your methodology and discussion.</p> <p>The depth of the research needs drastic improvement. It requires serious major revision before it can be accepted.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oluwadare Joshua Oyebode
Department, University & Country	Afe Babalola University, Nigeria