

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOGER_78591
Title of the Manuscript:	Lithological Characterization using parasequence set for depositional environment of KD field shallow offshore Niger Delta Basin.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajoger.com/index.php/AJOGER/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The conclusion is short and incomprehensible. The results of the research are not mentioned. And the purpose of the research has not been met, it is also better to present the research solution and suggestions after announcing the results.</p> <p>Photos are sometimes not referenced and there is not enough description for the data obtained. In the discussion section, it is better to refer to each of the data and give sufficient explanations. This is an analytical article, not a descriptive article, so the author's opinion and analysis should be clear.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>There are some grammatical errors in the writing of the paper and the use of punctuation. For example, articles are not observed. Sometimes sentences are illegible. By correcting them, you will help the reviewer gain an understanding of your findings.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Although the findings may be interesting, this study is more like a classroom study than a scientific one. It is better to increase the credibility of your work by arranging and writing it correctly. My suggestion is that by reading some articles published in this journal, you can prepare a better structure for your article.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeid Rezaei
Department, University & Country	Azad University Of Zanjan , Iran