

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_86818
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review: production of Poppy Seed in Afghanistan and its Composition Effects
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract- May be in structured format (Introduction, Aim and objectives, Methodology, Discussion and Conclusion. References should not be cited in the abstract part of the article. Author may kindly see to it.</p> <p>Introduction: May be precise and specific and should reflect the rationale of the study. Too much history part may be omitted. References should be specific and recent. There are many grammatical errors and reframing of sentences required (some are highlighted), author may kindly look into this.</p> <p>Aim and objectives of the manuscript may be written separately.</p> <p>Ethical clearance from the respective authority- should be mentioned.</p> <p>Methodology – may be added in place of review of literature i.e. how different database were searched and what were the result of different studies, author may kindly refer to the journal guidelines for a mini – review article.</p> <p>Results: Figures and Tables to be given with description. Results from various studies may be tabulated with references. No need of too much history everywhere and each product is described in too much detail. Author may clarify it's relevance and please stick to his/her aim and objectives.</p> <p>Many grammatical errors in the text</p> <p>References- Both intext as well as references in the end may be cited according to Vancouver style of referencing or journal guidelines. Author may please refer to them.</p> <p>Conclusion- is the answer to your research question. It should be specific. Author may please see to this.</p> <p>Mention about- any conflict of Interest, acknowledgements.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Author may kindly look into the grammatical errors and framing of sentences in the whole article (some are highlighted)</p> <p>In text references and references in the end may be cited according to journal guidelines.</p>	
Optional/General comments	--	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manisha Nagpal
Department, University & Country	SGRDUHS, India