

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_86328
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of physiological and biochemical responses of air pollution in selected plant species around industrial premises of Malappuram district, Kerala
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Title: The title is sufficient• Abstract: The abstract is effectively handled• Introduction: A excellent introduction is done• Materials and Methods: The part on methods is adequately addressed.• Results: The findings are given in a transparent and comprehensive manner.• Discussion: The discussion is once again covered all of the points.• The manuscript seems to be well. The goal, methodology, and results are all clearly indicated.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Figures & tables: The titles of the figures should be more informative. They are vital and quite well.• Figures and tables are significant and well-presented.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• This is well and acceptable, and it contributes to the Asian Journal of Biology in a valuable way.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R.Bakiyaraj
Department, University & Country	Government Arts College, India