

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_83462
Title of the Manuscript:	Association of Neck Circumference with Other Anthropometric Indices and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Healthy young Adults
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript defines that neck circumference is associated with cardiac risk factors. It is a previously established relation. Yet, another study with such a specific population would not be redundant.</p> <p>The manuscript is structured appropriately. However, it needs a major language revision. Besides, there are some minor revision comments regarding parts of the study:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Tables are structured well to establish the relation of the population and findings. However, relations are not stressed at the description. Additionally, the description of the first table is not related with the table, when Pearson coefficient and p values are considered. 2) The second table does not have any descriptions. 3) There are unnecessary page breaks at all the manuscript. 4) A lot of references are cited, but the discussion part is relatively short, I would rather suggest a review on references or enrichment of the discussion part. <p>Lastly, a more cautious review can be done by the authors to be sure of such points.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mehmet Emre Yegin
Department, University & Country	TC SBU Elazığ Training and Research Hospital, Turkey