

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_81900
Title of the Manuscript:	Pharmacognostic Characterization of Cola millenii K. Schum. (Malvaceae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check the English grammatical like punctuation, verbal and nominal concordancy, redundancies, articles. 2. If the writing was in American English, check some words in the highlight. 3. I see no need for this loose information after the introduction, about the plant classification. 4. About this – "Local Names: Efik/Ibibio; Mba utong-ita, Mkpa mfet okpo eboto, Hausa, Goro mbiri, Yoruba; Obi edun, Igbo; Uto" You can write in the middle of the introduction. 5. About "Figure 6: Structures of some phytochemical constituents in the leaf and stem GC-MS ethanolic extract". This can be in the results. 6. What parameters were established with this study to ensure quality control measures to ensure identity, quality, safety, and efficacy, compared to the literature? Can you summarize the conclusion? 7. About this: "Foliar description of <i>C. millenii</i> can be a helpful tool in resolving taxonomic discrepancies and identification. It can provide answers to problems related to phytochemical studies. This study highlighted the utilities of leaf characters which could be used for identification." <i>I know there are particular parameters in the leaf, but the article contains a stem too. So, about the stem, are there parameters that can be used? What are the conclusions to stem tests?</i> 8. Padronization tables. There is a different layout and bold letters or not. 9. Preliminary qualitative phytochemical analysis and Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectroscopy could be discussed together to corroborate the other. What the constituents classes? 10. Congratulations to the authors, the objective was found and the method is consistent with the results. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luciene Ferreira de Lima
Department, University & Country	URCA, Brazil