

### Reviewer's form

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_81820
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PATTERN OF TYPHOID FEVER AMONG PATIENTS ATTENDING GENERAL HOSPITAL KALTUNGO</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b> Recommendation in the abstract was mistaken for conclusion</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> Transmission of enteric fever through surgical instruments is doubtful since it is known to be transmitted faeco-orally. The claim that there are no bacteriological laboratory in most areas of developing countries does not appear to be true. The country (Nigeria) prevalence of enteric fever is not shown in the study. The regional prevalence is also not known. The study is about typhoid fever, but the abstract included paratyphoid fever. In this case the title should have reflected it by using enteric fever. Salmonellosis is not the same as enteric fever.</p> <p><b>Materials and methods</b> The area of the study was not clearly described.</p> <p><b>Discussion</b> The discussion is scanty. Reasons for the difference between male and female participants in the prevalence of enteric fever were not made known.</p> <p><b>References</b> The references section was written like bibliography. Many references were not cited in the text, i.e in-text citations do not correspond with the references. References should be properly re-written, sticking to one style of referencing and not mixing APA and other styles of referencing at the same time.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		

<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Emmanuel I. Umegbolu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>General Hospital, Oji River, Nigeria</b>