

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_77792
Title of the Manuscript:	THE CHARACTERISTICS OF HEAVY METAL CORRELATION Pb and Cu WIDENG CRAB TISSUE IN INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER IN GONJOL RIVER, DEMAK
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: Title should be more specific and catchy. Rewrite title</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Re write the second line of abstract 2. Provide year in this line "This study was conducted from January to March at the river's upper course and estuary and six replications". <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please insert sufficient relevant current references, because it is a mini review of your work. 2. Define the gap of study 3. Insert specific objectives of study at the end of this section 4. Refer the below mentioned paper to improve the manuscript: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study of the Impact of Heavy Metal (Cd, Pb and Hg) Contamination in Wedung Waters, Demak on Anadara granosa (Linnaeus, 1758) • Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in fish species from the Meiliang Bay, Taihu Lake, China • The relationships between heavy metal (Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb, Zn) levels and the size of six Mediterranean fish species • Accumulation and contamination of lead in different trophic levels of food chain in sewage-fed East Kolkata Wetland, West Bengal, India 5. Define the gap of study <p>Materials and methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Experimental designs should be clear including a heading 2. Please include some details of analysis process for each parameter, since the MS is not of big volume. 3. Please mention how many replicates used in analysis the data. 4. Mention statistical analysis followed in data analysis. <p>Results and discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In every section, please drawn a significant discussion from data obtained exploring insight and reason with supporting references. Author can distribute the result of correlation in each section with some additional information. 2. Please check all units properly according to the journal style. <p>Conclusion</p> <p>What is the major finding impact of study and it's significant? Please explore and rewrite.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deblina Dutta
Department, University & Country	CSIR- National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, India