

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMPCP_88140</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Association between caffeinated beverages consumption and sleep quality of undergraduate medical students: A cross-sectional study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, Dear Journal-Team,</p> <p>the manuscript 'Association between caffeinated beverages consumption and sleep quality of undergraduate medical students: A cross sectional study' investigates the sleep quality in medical students with different levels of caffeine intake. It is well written. The Tables are sufficient.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To further strengthen the results that caffeine intake has no influence on the sleep quality, it would be interesting to discuss the sleep quality assessed with the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index in groups other than medical students and groups with no caffeine intake, if available in the literature.</li> <li>2. Please use uniform style for all headlines, namely the Material and Methods, Results and Discussion sections.</li> <li>3. Explain the abbreviation CDC. Check the versions of the statistical software mentioned in the Abstract and the Data Analysis.</li> <li>4. Language: a) Abstract, Methodology: Please change to: 'a self-administered validated questionnaire'.</li> <li>b) Introduction, line 4: Change to: 'and which are associated with sleep'.</li> <li>c) Introduction, line 39: Change to 'energy drink'.</li> <li>d) Data Analysis, line 12: Change to: 'The Chi-square test'.</li> <li>e) Results, line 9: Change to: Table 2 shows the integer values of the PSQI data (Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index) according to the respective subsections based on that validated questionnaire.</li> <li>f) Results, line 37: Change to: 'The p value of 0.701 indicated no statistical significance...The p value of 0.384 indicated no statistical association...However both of the p values...showed...Both of the p values...The p value showed'.</li> <li>g) Discussion, line 13: Change to: 'Next, sleep latency is measured'.</li> <li>h) Discussion, line 15: Change to: 'The next section describes the day dysfunction'.</li> <li>i) Discussion, line 17: Change to: 'The majority of the students'.</li> <li>j) Discussion, line 19: Change to uniform numbers after the comma for all percentage values.</li> <li>k) Discussion, line 20: Change to: The sentence 'In addition...' is difficult to understand. Besides check the percentage values for overall sleep quality for correspondence with the table data.</li> <li>l) Discussion, line 26: Change to: 'In our study, the study semester'.</li> <li>m) Discussion, line 38: Change to: 'might cause students'.</li> <li>n) Discussion, line three from the bottom: Change to: 'selecting their answers to what they think'.</li> <li>o) Conclusion, line 3: Change to: 'was not a significant factor'.</li> </ol> <p>Sincerely,</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Raphael Richard Ciuman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Germany</b>