

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_87884
Title of the Manuscript:	COVID-19 VACCINE HESITANCY AMONG MEDICAL DOCTORS AT A TERTIARY HEALTH CARE FACILITY IN THE NIGER-DELTA OF NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>I've read with interest the present contribution on the acceptance of SARS-CoV-2 acceptance among medical from Niger-Delta of Nigeria.</p> <p>The study is, by itself, potentially interesting, please have the manuscript edited by an academic editor. Besides conventional language edits, scientific reporting conventions including tables need to be improved. Overall writing needs better structure and organization Scientific merit is excellent and results will contribute to this important global issue.</p> <p>The authors should justify the needs of vaccination in healthcare personnel because this population suffers from mental health issues during the pandemic(Loredana Sabina Cornelia Manolescu, Corneliu Nicolae Zaharia, Anca Irina Dumitrescu, Irina Prasacu , Mihaela Corina Radu, Adrian Calin Boeru , Liliana Boidache, Irina Nita , Andrei Neculescu, Razvan Daniel Chivu - Early COVID-19 Vaccination of Romanian Medical and Social Personnel , Vaccines 2021, 9(10), 1127; <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9101127">https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9101127</a>)</p> <p>It is unclear how the authors distribute the online survey to their target participants.</p> <p>It is unclear how they validated the questionnaire. Moreover, the authors did not report any reliability of validity information of the questionnaire</p> <p>The authors should clearly define their inclusion and exclusion criteria for the target participants.</p> <p>How did the authors control the respondents to the online survey are their target population? How did the authors ensure that every person only complete the online survey once?</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Radu Mihaela Corina</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy Bucharest, Romania</b>