

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_84973
Title of the Manuscript:	A CASE REPORT ON TALONAVICULAR ARTHRITIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><u>01</u> "Talonavicular arthrodesis is one of the most effective procedures for the treatment of traumatic talonavicular arthritis by providing the functional improvement and by reducing the pain." This is not a conclusion of your work. Your manuscript consists of a single case report, and it is not a review. Conclude based on the case presented, only.</p> <p><u>02</u> There are hundreds of cases of talonavicular arthritis reported in the literature. What can this single case report possibly bring of novelty to the field?</p> <p><u>03</u> The extremely short Discussion section consists of one single paragraph with some repetition of what was already written under "Case Presentation", without an actual discussion of the findings of the study. The authors need to address this issue.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bruno Chrcanovic
Department, University & Country	Malmö University, Sweden