

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_81702
Title of the Manuscript:	Forensic toxicology concepts and applications in Pharmaceutical Medicine.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I consider that the topic addressed in the manuscript is interesting, however, I believe that it should be modified in order to increase the quality and scientific rigor of the document.</p> <p>The manuscript is very long, it seems to me a bit excessive even for a review paper. I consider that it should be rewritten in order to achieve a better structure and to indicate the information in a more concrete way, avoiding talking about the same topic more than once.</p> <p>There is a part of the document in which the bibliographical references are not properly indicated, therefore it appears as knowledge contributed by the authors when, due to the characteristics of the work, it is known that this is not the case. The conclusions must be integrative and representative of all the information expressed in the document.</p> <p>Different fonts and font sizes appear in the document.</p> <p>Other minor points are indicated in the document.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	José Miguel Calderín Miranda
Department, University & Country	University of Havana, Cuba