

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_77265
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of clinical and laboratory characteristics in patients with von Willebrand disease in a five year follow up at a tertiary referral centre in Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Material and Method section:</b> 2.1.: mention the statistical test and significance level that was used for the results of table 3 (BAT score).</p> <p><b>Results and Analysis section:</b> Mean hemoglobin and APTT count: mention number of patients that were included in analysis!</p> <p>Please provide a <b>graph and correlation</b> (Spearman's rank test) between APTT and BAT score of individual patients of Table 5.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><u>Method section:</u> -describe immunoturbidometric method and Factor VIII assay in more detail to allow inter-lab reproducibility</p> <p><u>Results and Analysis section:</u> -"Blood group..." Please be precise! "Analysis of blood group" -Add number of patients n= in Table 2 -Add the unit for percentage for all tables</p> <p><u>Discussion:</u> ."Overall, the majority of patients..." please mention majority of which population! Globally?</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add more key words</li> <li>• Make sure to use the correct superlative for common consistently: more common &amp; most common</li> <li>• Please check and correct spelling and grammar mistakes!</li> <li>• Exchange "patient were products of consanguineous marriages" into "patients were of descendants of..."</li> <li>• Please mention (in M&amp;M section) why Table 1 takes only 43 patients and Table 3 only 46 patients into consideration.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Claudia Donat</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Of Basel, Switzerland</b>