

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_90053
Title of the Manuscript:	CYTOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF URINARY SAMPLES AMONG VESICOVAGINAL FISTULA PATIENTS IN NATIONAL OBSTETRICS FISTULA CENTRE, SOUTHEASTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>[1] I have made supporting compulsory corrections for the authors to reflect upon and if they are happy to incorporate the changes to provide good standard of English to lift the article to publishable standards then the article which is a good one in my opinion can be published with the changes or the authors should make changes in the yellow and red coloured areas which I would suggest the editorial board of the journal should share with the authors.</p> <p>[2] The editorial board and the authors should ensure that the referencing style that was used in the article is what the journal wants.</p> <p>[3] CONFLICT OF INTEREST – the authors should include their conflict-of-interest statement after references</p> <p>[4] Acknowledgements – The authors should acknowledge those who helped and supported them in their study and write up.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	See above	
Optional/General comments	Well done with your study	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo
Department, University & Country	North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom