

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_89470
Title of the Manuscript:	PATTERN OF LIPID PROFILE LEVELS IN HIV SERODISCORDANT COUPLES IN JOS PLATAEU STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> the mean serum HDL-C level was not significantly different ($p>0.05$). correct as (The difference in the mean serum HDL-C level was not statistically significant ($p>0.05$).) Also, when comparing the groups studied, mean serum LDL-C levels did not differ significantly ($p>0.05$), correct as (Also, when comparing the groups studied, the difference in mean serum LDL-C levels was not statistically significant ($p>0.05$),) The mean serum levels of Triglyceride (TG), high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and very low density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL-C) were significantly different among the groups correct as (The difference in mean serum levels of Triglyceride (TG), high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and very low density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL-C) were statistically significant among the groups) However, when comparing the groups, the mean serum HDL-C level in male discordant HIV positive participants did not differ significantly ($p>0.05$), correct as (However, when comparing the groups, the difference in mean serum HDL-C level in male discordant HIV positive participants was not statistically significant ($p>0.05$).) the mean serum VLDL-C level did not differ significantly between the groups tested ($p>0.05$). (See table 3). Also, when comparing the groups tested, mean serum LDL-C levels did not differ significantly ($p>0.05$), except for the values observed in the female HIV positive participants, which were significantly higher than the female control group (2.95 ± 1.36 Vs 1.71 ± 0.35; $p=0.002$). correct as(the difference in mean serum VLDL-C level was not statistically significant between the groups tested ($p>0.05$). (See table 3). Also, when comparing the groups tested, the difference in mean serum LDL-C levels was not statistically significant ($p>0.05$), except for the values observed in the female HIV positive participants, which were significantly higher than the female control group (2.95 ± 1.36 Vs 1.71 ± 0.35; $p=0.002$).) Mean serum TC levels were not statistically significantly different. Correct as (the difference in mean serum TC levels were not statistically significant.) the current study when compared to seronagative correct as (the current study when compared to seronegative) that occur during the infection promote lypolysis and insulin resistance correct as (that occur during the infection promote lipolysis and insulin resistance) 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nitika Hans
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India