

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_89044
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Cucumis sativus and Prunus armeniaca Juices On Blood Glucose, Insulin and Electrolytes of Alloxan Induced Diabetic Male Sprague Dawley Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No apply.	
Minor REVISION comments	No apply.	
Optional/General comments	It is an interesting manuscript about the effect of a particular juice (Cucumis sativus and Prunus armeniaca Juices) on the blood glucose level of small animals. The objective of this Research it is very interesting and applied. It merits to be published. However, please consider the following comments to improve the understanding for readers and neophytes in the theme: i) In the abstract please consider to add some information about the juice applied. A well the experimental design and controls. What is the other juice used for the control?. It should be briefly added more explanations and description. ii) In the abstract, in the part of values obtained of insulin, it is not clear the meaning of the values reported (add unities). Check this part, iii) About the methodology used; why the animals were scarified after 5 weeks? Justify why it was not done previous controls with animals alive. lii) In the section of results it should be developed the redaction explaining observations and results in order to guide the reader to yours conclusions; iv) About the objectives; it should be explained and stated the times involucrated in this study. It was studied the effect after weeks and it was not evaluated within previous period of time, such as hours, 24 h. etc.; v) In the Material and Methods section, please consider to add references as required; vi) The conclusions are too short. Add some other insight and perspectives. Compare with other studies, and it is suggested to evaluate the effect within shorter periods of times.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Bracamonte
Department, University & Country	National University of Cordoba (UNC), Argentine