

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_88473
Title of the Manuscript:	An Overview of Clinico-pathological correlates of CNS Germinoma.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Many patients with unrecognized CNS germ cell tumors may have had a long history of complications such as movement disorders, enuresis, anorexia, and behavioral and psychiatric complaints including obsessive-compulsive disorder, tics, and psychosis. The majority of bifocal (pineal and neurohypophyseal) germ cell tumors in patients with typical imaging study findings, detectable hCG levels, and normal AFP levels are germinomas. However, some bifocal non-germinomatous germ cell tumors (NGGCTs) may have similar radiographic features, detectable hCG levels, and normal or modestly elevated AFP.</p> <p>Total surgical resection of CNS germ cell tumors has been hampered by the deep-seated location of these tumors. Therefore, craniospinal irradiation has been the standard adjuvant therapy. Advances in diagnostic imaging, surgical and anesthetic techniques, and radiation therapy and the addition of chemotherapy have improved the outcome in patients with these tumors. This is a nice review of the management of these tumors, but there is not much new here.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Language should be edited Check journal formatting</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>This is a nice review but there is not much that is new here.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Terry Lichtor
Department, University & Country	Rush University Medical Center, USA